1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6		
7	JAMES W. FORSYTHE, et al.,	3:10-CV-00716-RCJ(VPC)
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER
9	v.	ORDER
10	JOSEPH BROWN, et al.,	
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (#141) entered on February 6, 2012.	
14	Plaintiff filed Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#148) and Defendants	
15	filed an Opposition to Objections (#152).	
16	The Court has conducted it's de novo review in this case, has fully considered the objections of	
17	the Plaintiffs, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant	
18	to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The district court may accept, reject, or modify in	
19	whole or in part, the findings and recommendations made by the magistrate judge. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).	
20	The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#141) entered on	
21	February 6, 2012 is adopted and accepted.	
22	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#141)	
23	is ADOPTED and ACCEPTED.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	DATED: this 18 th day of May, 2012.	$\Omega \cap \Omega$
26	E. Janes	
27	ROBERT C. JONES UNITED STATES DISTRICT CHIEF JUDGE	
28		CMILD STATISTISTRICT CHIEF JUDGE